

<p>Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options</p> <p>Response Form for your comments</p>	<p>JSPU use only Rep no Comment no Date received Acknowledged</p>
---	--

If you wish to comment on the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options document, please follow the steps below:-

1. Complete your details below on page 1.
2. Complete a separate page 2 of the form for each part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options which you would like to comment on.
3. Show your organisation/name/representation number on page 2 and on each additional completed page.
4. For each representation indicate the chapter and page/policy reference/paragraph on which you would like to comment on.
5. Provide a short summary of your comments, give reasons for supporting/objecting and set out any changes that you would like to see.
6. Send your comments to:
Berkshire JSPU, St Mary's House, C/o Town Hall, St Ives Rd, Maidenhead, SL6 1RF
or minerals.waste@rbwm.gov.uk
to arrive by **5 November 2007**.

Remember

At the next stage of Plan preparation, you will be asked to say which test of soundness your objections relate to. The tests of soundness are :-

1. The Plan has been prepared in accordance with the local development scheme;
2. The Plan has been prepared in compliance with the statement of community involvement, or, where no statement of community involvement exists, with the minimum requirements set out in the Regulations;
3. The plan and its policies have been subjected to sustainability appraisal;
4. The Plan is a spatial plan which is consistent with national planning policy and in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy for the region and it has properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas;
5. The Plan has had regard to the authority's community strategy;
6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are coherent and consistent within and between development plan documents prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant;
7. The strategies/policies/allocations represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are founded on a robust and credible evidence base;
8. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring; and
9. The plan is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Section A

Comments submitted by or on behalf of

Agent (if appropriate)

Name	I.D. Lindsay MRICS FAAV	Name	F.J. Thompson MRICS FIQ
Organisation	The Wasing Estate	Organisation	FTMINS
Address	The Estate Office The Gardens Wasing Park ALDERMASTON READING Berkshire	Address	Meadow Bank Horsted Lane ISFIELD
Post code	RG7 4NG	Post code	TN22 5TU
Tel	0118 971 4140	Tel	01825 750205
Email address	ian@wasing.co.uk	Email address	francis.thompson@ftmins.co.uk

Section B
Representations submitted by or on behalf of

Name F.J. Thompson MRICS FIQ	Organisation The Wasing Estate	Representation no 1 Number each of your representations starting from 1
---	--	---

I am ~~supporting~~/**opposing** the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options at reference:-

Chapter 3 Page 13, Figure 3.1; Page17 – Figure 3.3; and the Key Diagram

Policy Reference or paragraph number: The Figures and the Diagram as referred in the document generally.

Please use this space to give a short summary of your comments

There is a lack of consistency of information depicted in the Figures and the Diagram. It is difficult to recognise and locate spatially the areas to which Policy relates.

It would help understanding and clarity in the text where references are made to these if on each Figure and the Diagram:

- The boundary of each member District was shown.
- The outline boundary of each Urban area was shown even if not named.
- A visual scale was shown.
- Consideration was given to producing a slightly larger scale Figure and Diagram.
- The adjoining County / District boundaries were shown faint outside the JSPA boundary.
- Trunk or main road and river features were shown on the Figures.

Please explain why you ~~support~~/**oppose** this particular part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options

We support the inclusion of the Figures and the Key Diagram because the use of spatial diagrams and Figures is a crucially important tool and part of the Core Strategy as a Development Document to enable clear understanding of the Preferred Options. We consider that some improvement could be achieved to the spatial visual information in this document.

Please set out any changes that you would like to see

Improved clarity, definition and provision of visual relationship information.

Signed F.J. Thompson Date 1st November 2007

Section B
Representations submitted by or on behalf of

Name Name F.J. Thompson MRICS FIQ	Organisation The Wasing Estate	Representation no 2 Number each of your representations starting from 1
---	--	---

I am ~~supporting~~/**opposing** the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework
Core Strategy Preferred Options at reference:-

Chapter 4 Page 23

Policy Reference or paragraph number: 4.12 – Vision for MWPDPDs

Please use this space to give a short summary of your comments

This vision statement refers twice to 'environmental damage' and so appears to be blinded to the possibility that mineral development may enable subsequent uses of land which are not presently available. This view may tend to restrict enterprise and opportunity from flourishing in West Berkshire where the local economy is of a rural character. The Vision Statement should be more optimistic of the potential economic benefits resulting from mineral working and restoration.

Please explain why you ~~support~~/**oppose** this particular part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options

Wasing Estate is a rural estate which has very large mineral resources beneath it. The Estate may need to develop these resources during the Plan Period and would wish to do so in accordance with a vision and planning policies consistent with those of the West Berkshire District. As such it is a stakeholder in West Berkshire MWDF and its DPDs and is contributing to this consultation to assist the Planning Authority achieve a mutually acceptable framework.

Please set out any changes that you would like to see

Please amend the second paragraph (after the bullet points) to include reference to the requirements of the local economy. Thus ".....in terms of development pressures, requirements of the local economy and environmental priorities".

Signed

F.J. Thompson Date 1st November 2007

Please make a separate page 2 for each part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options that you would like to comment upon, *and number them sequentially*. Send to the **JSPU** at the address on page 1 to **arrive by 5th November 2007**.

Section B

Representations submitted by or on behalf of

Name Name F.J. Thompson MRICS FIQ	Organisation The Wasing Estate	Representation no 3 Number each of your representations starting from 1
--	--	--

I am **supporting/opposing** the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options at reference:-

Chapter **5** Page **32**

Policy Reference or paragraph number: **M4**

Please use this space to give a short summary of your comments

Core Strategy Policies should be clear and unambiguous as to their meaning and intent. They should also complement or reflect the other policies of the Strategy where appropriate, in this case there is a relationship to Policy M5.

Please explain why you **support/oppose** this particular part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options

Wasing Estate is a rural estate which has very large mineral resources beneath it. The Estate may need to develop these resources during the Plan Period and would wish to do so in accordance with a vision and planning policies consistent with those of the West Berkshire District. As such it is a stakeholder in West Berkshire MWDF and its DPDs and is contributing to this consultation to assist the Planning Authority achieve a mutually acceptable framework.

Please set out any changes that you would like to see

The deletion of the words 'allowing applications' in the second line and the substitution of the words 'granting permission'.

Signed.....Francis Thompson Date **1st November 2007**

Please make a separate page 2 for each part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options that you would like to comment upon, *and number them sequentially*. Send to the **JSPU** at the address on page 1 to **arrive by 5th November 2007**.

Section B

Representations submitted by or on behalf of

Name Name F.J. Thompson MRICS FIQ	Organisation The Wasing Estate	Representation no 4 Number each of your representations starting from 1
---	--	---

I am supporting/opposing the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options at reference:-

Chapter 5 Page 46

Policy Reference or paragraph number: M13 - Restoration

Please use this space to give a short summary of your comments

There is a statutory requirement for all mineral applications to propose an after-use. This policy is in danger of merely repeating in different words this statutory requirement. As a core strategy policy it should invite and incorporate more of the optimistic Vision Statement and seek positively to open up economic, spatial and environmental opportunities

Please explain why you support/oppose this particular part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options

Wasing Estate is a rural estate which has very large mineral resources beneath it. The Estate may need to develop these resources during the Plan Period and would wish to do so in accordance with a vision and planning policies consistent with those of the West Berkshire District. As such it is a stakeholder in West Berkshire and the MWDF and its DPDs and is contributing to this consultation to assist the Planning Authority achieve a mutually acceptable framework.

**Please set out any changes that you would like to see
The Policy Title Changed to read "Restoration and After-Use"**

To change the policy after the first sentence to read:

"When considering applications for mineral development the authority will seek to optimise the proposed after-use to achieve wider community recreational leisure and tourist facilities and restoration to such use will be achieved to the highest standards consistent with the identified acceptable use.
The change of use following mineral working should produce an economic and environmental benefit over the previous use and contribute to the local and tourist facilities of the District."

Signed

Francis Thompson Date 1st November 2007

Please make a separate page 2 for each part of the Joint Minerals and Waste Development Framework Core Strategy Preferred Options that you would like to comment upon, and number them sequentially. Send to the JSPU at the address on page 1 to arrive by 5th November 2007.